

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/596,258	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TACHIBANA, IKUO	
	<b>Examiner</b> BENEDICT L.C. HANRAHAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3761	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BENEDICT L.C. HANRAHAN.

(2) Mark Saralino.

**Date of Interview:** 1 July 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
  
Claims discussed:  
1  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated claims would be allowable if in claim 1, line 26 "formed to be" is removed and if "and comprises perforations" is inserted after "tearable". Applicant spoke with client and was able to approve Examiner's Amendment. Examiner indicated he would make the amendment and issue the allowance...